## Analysis of Call Center Services IEOR, UC Berkeley

## What is a call center

- Point of contact between a firm and customers
- Large pool of customer service representatives (CSRs) who
- Incoming - respond to inquiries, take orders, handle customer requests
- Outgoing - call customers


## Call center resources

- People
- Computers
- Telecommunication equipment
- Software
- Routing calls
- Supporting CSRs


## Typical types of Call Centers

- Customer service
- Help desk
- Emergency response services
- Telemarketing


## Generic vs. Skill-based routing

- Generic
- All calls require same low level of service
- All CSRs can handle all calls
- Skill based routing
- Language based
- Problem/program specific
- Information, New sales, Returns, ...
- Word, Excel, Powerpoint, ...


## Single vs. multi-layered

- Single
- One CSR can handle a full range of issues
- Multi-layered
- Customers moved between CSRs depending on needs

What are the key tradeoffs?
(in required training, in customer experience)

## IVR -

## Interactive Voice Response

- CSRs are replaced (partially) by a voice or touchpad activated computer
- Airline arrival/reservation info
- Reduces need for employees? Maybe?


## Basic model structure



## Key issue

- Call centers operate with MANY servers, high arrival rates, but with low probabilities of waiting and low wait times.


## How do they do it?

## Key decisions

- \# of trunk lines
- \# of CSRs in each period
- Short term - number to start each hour of the day
- Long term - number to train


## Outline

- Square root law for Service Center staffing
- Long term planning - number of CSRs to train to ensure we have the right number $\tau$ months from now, where $\tau$ is the training time in months.


## Derivations of square root rule

- BOTTOM LINE: Basic equation for number of CSRs to employ to attain a given level of service:
$N=R+\beta \sqrt{R}$
where
$N$ is the number of CSRs
$R=\lambda / \mu$
$\beta$ is a parameter related to the service level or P (wait)


## Approximate derivation of square root law

If we had an infinite server system, the number of busy servers found by an arriving customer would be Poisson with parameter $R=\lambda / \mu$. This is true for an $M / G / \infty$ queue. To see this for an $M / M / \infty$ queue, see the slide two after this.

Now if delays are not prevalent, the number of busy servers is still almost Poisson and we approximate the Poisson by a Normal with mean $R$ and variance $R$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { So } P(\text { wait }) & =P(\# \text { busy servers } \geq \mathrm{N}) \\
& =1-\Phi\left(\frac{N-R}{\sqrt{R}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { So } \Phi\left(\frac{N-R}{\sqrt{R}}\right) & =1-P(\text { wait }) \\
\frac{N-R}{\sqrt{R}} & =Z_{1-P(\text { wait })} \\
N & =R+\mathrm{Z}_{1-P(\text { wait })} \sqrt{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example



| For $\lambda / \mu=1000$ |
| :---: |
| 1065 servers are |
| needed for |
| $P($ wait $>0)=0.02$ |
| Since |
| $\Phi\left(\frac{1065-1000}{\sqrt{1000}}\right)=0.98$ |
| So $\Delta=\beta \sqrt{R}=\beta \sqrt{\lambda / \mu}=65$ |
| or $\beta=65 / \sqrt{\lambda / \mu}=2.0555$ |

For $P($ wait $)=0.01$, we get $\beta=2.326$

## Square root law Long term planning

## Aside: M/M/ $\infty$ (Self-service) <br> Queue



## Questions

So what is the mean number of $\quad \lambda$ busy servers? $\mu$

- The variance of the number of $\lambda$ busy servers?
- How can we approximate the distribution of the number of

$$
N\left(\frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu}\right)
$$

11/2szbusy servers?

## M/M/s queue

 holding $\lambda /(\mathrm{s} \mu)$ constant| INPUTS |  |  |  |  | OUTPUTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lambda | Mu | Servers | $\lambda /(\mathrm{S} \mu)$ | R | L | W | Wq | Lq |
| 3.5 | 4 | 1 | 0.875 | 0.875 | 7.00 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 6.13 |
| 7.0 | 4 | 2 | 0.875 | 1.750 | 7.47 | 1.07 | 0.82 | 5.72 |
| 10.5 | 4 | 3 | 0.875 | 2.625 | 8.04 | 0.77 | 0.52 | 5.41 |
| 14.0 | 4 | 4 | 0.875 | 3.500 | 8.67 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 5.17 |
| 17.5 | 4 | 5 | 0.875 | 4.375 | 9.33 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 4.95 |
| 35.0 | 4 | 10 | 0.875 | 8.750 | 12.93 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 4.18 |
| 70.0 | 4 | 20 | 0.875 | 17.500 | 20.74 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 3.24 |
| 87.5 | 4 | 25 | 0.875 | 21.875 | 24.79 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 2.91 |
| 105.0 | 4 | 30 | 0.875 | 26.250 | 28.89 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 2.64 |
| 175.0 | 4 | 50 | 0.875 | 43.750 | 45.62 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 1.87 |

$W_{q}$ goes down indicating economies of scale in queueing

## M/M/s queue holding $\lambda /(\mathrm{s} \mu)$ constant



## $W_{q}$ goes down indicating economies of scale in queueing

## Economies of scale in queueing



## Now set service standard [P(wait)] and find \# needed



## Results (???)

| Multiplier | R | Number(0.01) | Number (0.05) | Number (0.2) | Number (0.5) | Delta(0.01) | Delta(0.05) | Delta(0.2) | Delta(.5) | Number(0.01) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.99 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 1.98 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 3 | 2.97 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9 |
| 4 | 3.96 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
| 5 | 4.95 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| 10 | 9.9 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 19 |
| 15 | 14.85 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 26 |
| 20 | 19.8 | 32 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 32 |
| 25 | 24.75 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 38 |
| 30 | 29.7 | 44 | 40 | 36 | 33 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 44 |
| 35 | 34.65 | 50 | 46 | 42 | 38 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 50 |
| 40 | 39.6 | 56 | 52 | 47 | 43 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 56 |
| 45 | 44.55 | 62 | 57 | 53 | 49 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 62 |
| 50 | 49.5 | 68 | 63 | 58 | 54 | 18 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 68 |
| 60 | 59.4 | 79 | 74 | 68 | 64 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 79 |
| 70 | 69.3 | 91 | 85 | 79 | 74 | 21 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 91 |
| 80 | 79.2 | 102 | 96 | 90 | 84 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 102 |
| 90 | 89.1 | 113 | 107 | 100 | 95 | 23 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 113 |
| 100 | 99 | 124 | 118 | 110 | 105 | 25 | 19 | 11 | 6 | 124 |
| 110 | 108.9 | 135 | 128 | 121 | 115 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 135 |
| 120 | 118.8 | 146 | 139 | 131 | 125 | 27 | 20 | 12 | 6 | 146 |

## What does all this mean?

## Small part of the table

| Multiplier | $\mathbf{R}$ | Number(0.01) | Number (0.2) | Delta(0.01) | Delta(0.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.98 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 10 | 9.9 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 20 | 19.8 | 32 | 25 | 12 | 5 |
| 50 | 49.5 | 68 | 58 | 18 | 8 |
| 100 | 99 | 124 | 110 | 25 | 11 |
| 120 | 118.8 | 146 | 131 | 27 | 12 |

## Implications

| Multiplier | R | Number(0.01) | Number (0.2) | DeIta(0.01) | Delta(0.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.98 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 10 | 9.9 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 20 | 19.8 | 32 | 25 | 12 | 5 |
| 50 | 49.5 | 68 | 58 | 18 | 8 |
| 100 | 99 | 124 | 110 | 25 | 11 |
| 120 | 118.8 | 146 | 131 | 27 | 12 |

Demand increases by a factor of 12 , but required number of servers to maintain same service level goes up by a much smaller ratio

## Implications

| Multiplier | $\mathbf{R}$ | Number(0.01) | Number (0.2) | Delta(0.01) | Delta(0.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.98 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 10 | 9.9 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 20 | 19.8 | 32 | 25 |  |  |
| 50 | 49.5 | 68 | 58 |  |  |
| 100 | 99 | 124 | 110 | 2 | 11 |
| 120 | 118.8 | 146 | 131 | 27 | 12 |

5 more servers needed to improve service with a small system.
With a system 12 times as big, only 15 more servers are needed.

## Implications



## Estimation of square root law

- Fix $\alpha=P$ (wait)

$$
\alpha=0.01
$$

- Find \# of servers needed to ensure P (wait) $\leq \alpha$ for a range of R using $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{N}$ queue
- Compute $\ln (R)$ and $\ln (\Delta)$
- Regress $\ln (\Delta)=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \ln (R)$

| $R$ | Min \# | Number <br> needed for <br> $\alpha=0.01$ | Delta | $\ln (\mathrm{R})$ | $\ln (\mathrm{delta})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.99 | 1 | 5 | 4 | -0.010 | 1.386 |
| 4.95 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 1.599 | 1.946 |
| 9.9 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 2.293 | 2.197 |
| 19.8 | 20 | 32 | 12 | 2.986 | 2.485 |
| 29.7 | 30 | 44 | 14 | 3.391 | 2.639 |
| 49.5 | 50 | 68 | 18 | 3.902 | 2.890 |

## Regression results

| Regression Statistics |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 0. |
| Multiple R | 0.9976 |
|  |  |
| R Square | 0.9951 |
|  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.9948 |
|  |  |
| Standard Error | 0.0208 |
|  |  |
| Observations | 15 |
|  |  |
| ANOVA |  |
|  | $d f$ |
| Regression | 1 |
| Residual | 13 |
| Total | 14 |
|  |  |
|  | Coefficients |
|  | Standard Error |
| Intercept | 1.1463 |
| X Variable 1 | 0.4462 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =e^{1.146+0.446 \ln (R)} \\
& =e^{1.146} R^{0.446} \\
& =3.147 R^{0.446} \\
& \approx 3.147 \sqrt{R} \\
\beta & =3.147 \\
\hline N & =R+3.147 R^{0.446} \\
& \approx R+3.147 \sqrt{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example with $\alpha=0.01 ; \mu=12 / \mathrm{hr}$

| $\mathbf{R}$ | Number of <br> servers | Delta | rho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 | 180 | 30 | 0.833 |
| 175 | 207 | 32 | 0.845 |
| 200 | 234 | 34 | 0.855 |
| 225 | 261 | 36 | 0.862 |
| 250 | 287 | 37 | 0.871 |
| 275 | 314 | 39 | 0.876 |
| 300 | 341 | 41 | 0.880 |
| 600 | 655 | 55 | 0.916 |

Demand quadruples, but number of excess servers less than doubles and utilization of servers increases

Large service centers are more efficient

## Long term planning and the number of CSRs to train

$\beta \quad$ monthly turnover rate
$\tau \quad$ time to train an employee (months)
$y_{t} \quad$ number of employees on hand at time $t$ (now)
$y_{j} \quad$ number of employees who finish training at beginning of month $j$
$\eta_{t} \quad$ number of employees needed in month $t$
$Z_{t} \quad$ number to hire as trainees in month $t$

$$
z_{t}=\max \left(0, \eta_{t+\tau}-\sum_{j=t}^{t+\tau-1} y_{j}(1-\beta)^{\tau-(j-t)}\right)
$$

## Example: Inputs for $\tau=3 ; \beta=0.1$

| $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j})$ | Needed(j) | Train | Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 280 |  |  | 280.0 |
| 2 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 300 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 320 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 310 |  |  |
| 7 |  | 340 |  |  |
| 8 |  | 350 |  |  |
| 9 |  | 360 |  |  |
| 10 |  | 320 |  |  |
| 11 |  | 310 |  |  |
| 12 |  | 295 |  |  |
| 13 |  | 280 |  |  |

Number available now Number of trainees to start in next 2 months
Number needed in future periods

## Example: Inputs for $\tau=3 ; \beta=0.1$

| j | Y(j) | Needed(j) | Train | Available |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 280 |  |  | 2800 |  |
| 2 | 30 |  |  | 282.0 | 280*(1-0.1)+30 |
| 3 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 300 |  |  | $=280 *(0.9)+30$ |
| 5 |  | 320 |  |  | $=252+30$ |
| 6 |  | 310 |  |  | = 282 |
| 7 |  | 340 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | 350 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 360 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 320 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | 310 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | 295 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | 280 |  |  |  |

## Example: Inputs for $\tau=3 ; \beta=0.1$



## Example: Inputs for $\tau=3 ; \beta=0.1$

| $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j})$ | Needed(j) | Train | Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 280 |  | 50 | 280.0 |
| 2 | 30 |  |  | 282.0 |
| 3 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 50 | 300 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 320 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 310 |  |  |
| 7 |  | 340 |  |  |
| 8 |  | 350 |  |  |
| 9 |  | 360 |  |  |
| 10 |  | 320 |  |  |
| 11 |  | 310 |  |  |
| 12 |  | 295 |  |  |
| 13 |  | 280 |  |  |

## Example; $\tau=3 ; \beta=0.1$

| $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{Y ( j )}$ | Needed(j) | Train | Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 280 |  | 50 | 280.0 |
| 2 | 30 |  | 50 | 282.0 |
| 3 | 25 |  | 22 | 278.8 |
| 4 | 50 | 300 | 61 | 300.9 |
| 5 | 50 | 320 | 44 | 320.8 |
| 6 | 22 | 310 | 45 | 310.7 |
| 7 | 61 | 340 | 0 | 340.7 |
| 8 | 44 | 350 | 18 | 350.6 |
| 9 | 45 | 360 | 16 | 360.5 |
| 10 | 0 | 320 | 15 | 324.5 |
| 11 | 18 | 310 |  | 310.0 |
| 12 | 16 | 295 |  | 295.0 |
| 13 | 15 | 280 |  | 280.5 |

Significant drop in needed results in (1)
no training and (2) available>needed
Number available now
Number of trainees
to start in next 2 months
280(1-0.1)+30
Max\{0,300280(0.9) ${ }^{3}$ 30(0.9) ${ }^{2}$ 25(0.9)1\}
Would have been negative without max operator
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